

Group 1 Whole Plan

Withdraw Plan & Notify New Plan - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000361							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
ENV-2006-AKL-000099	E505/04	Auckland International Airport Ltd	01	Whole Plan Variation 1 Coastal 10 20	<p>Withdraw the Proposed Plan, ALW Variation 1 and Coastal Variation 1; OR</p> <p>Amend the Proposed Plan, ALW Variation 1 and Coastal Variation 1 to address the concerns set out in the Appellant's submissions, those set out in Appeals E505/04/02 to E505/04/26 and in the following summarised matters, so as to provide for the sustainable management of the Airport, thereby achieving the purpose of the RMA:</p> <p>At the general level, the Respondent's decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will not promote sustainable management of resources, will not achieve the purpose of the RMA and are contrary to Part II and other provisions of the RMA; • Will not enable the social and economic well-being of the community in the Auckland Region; • Will not sustain the potential of Auckland International Airport and its associated structures and facilities as a physical resource; • Are inadequate to protect and enable the Appellant's operations (as a regional provider of an essential service) in the Auckland region generally; • Does not have sufficient regard to the efficient use and development of the Appellant's operations and of those resources which are dependent on the Airport; • Does not represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Respondent's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means; • 	Partnered with detailed relief 15/6/05 memorandum	ACC/MW Transit NZ Air New Zealand Westfield NZ Ports of Auckland Ltd Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000094	E501/04	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (Inc)	01	Whole Plan	<p>That the Environment Court direct the ARC to notify a new proposed plan and a new proposed plan variation which contains only provisions that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are necessary to achieve the purpose of the RMA; • have been subjected to a full and proper "section 32" analysis; <p>and that the Court revoke all the provisions of the proposed plan and Proposed Variation 1 and cancel the ARC's decisions in their entirety.</p>	Partnered with detailed relief 15/08/05 Memorandum	ACC Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd Horticulture New Zealand

Proposed Auckland Regional Plan: Air, Land and Water and Auckland Regional Plan: Coastal (Variation 1)
 Appeal Summaries October 2009

Withdraw Plan & Notify New Plan - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000361							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
ENV-2006-AKL-000066	E477/04	Poultry Industry Association of New Zealand	01	Whole Plan	<p>Withdraw the Plan and notify new provisions which give effect to the following concerns:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Plan should be balanced between environmental protection and providing for people and communities; • By providing greater emphasis on appropriate use and development in the Values section; • By providing a more permissive consent regime for activities that are able to avoid, remedy or mitigate their adverse effects within site boundaries through greater use of permitted and controlled activities. <p>OR: Amend the Plan so that the provisions relevant to the intensive livestock industry are consistent with the provisions applying to other activities with the same or similar effects; for example, the dairy industry under the Regional Plan: Farm Dairy Discharges.</p>	Further particulars received in 21/06/05 letter.	Federated Farmers Haka International Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000780	E503/04	Property Council of New Zealand Inc Cabra Holdings Ltd Hopper Developments Ltd Neil Construction Ltd	01	Whole Plan	<p>Withdraw the Proposed Plan in its entirety AND Notify a new Proposed Plan which contains only provisions that are necessary to achieve the purpose of the RMA, are based on sound resource management techniques and which are supported by a robust and comprehensive Section 32 analysis demonstrating that they are the most efficient and effective means to achieve the purpose of the RMA.</p>	Further particulars provided by appellant on 14/03/06. And 31 May 2006 Being addressed by amendments to individual chapters.	ACC/MW Transit NZ Auckland International Airport Ltd Ports of Auckland Ltd Haka International Horticulture New Zealand

Make Plan Consistent With RMA Purpose - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000362							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
ENV-2006-AKL-000098	E504/04	Air New Zealand Ltd	01	Whole Plan	<p>Amend the Proposed Plan be amended to address the concerns set out in the Appellant's submissions, those set out in Appeals E504/04/02 to E504/04/04 and in the following summarised matters, thereby achieving the purpose of the RMA:</p> <p>At the general level, the Respondent's decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will not promote sustainable management of resources, will not achieve the purpose of the RMA and are contrary to Part II and other provisions of the RMA; • Will not enable the social and economic well-being of the community in the Auckland Region; • Does not represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Respondent's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means; 	Partnered with detailed relief 15/6/05 memorandum	ACC Transit NZ Auckland International Airport
ENV-2006-AKL-000102	E508/04	Ports of Auckland Ltd Ltd	01	Whole Plan Variation 1 Coastal 10 20	<p>Amend the Proposed Plan, Variation 1 and Coastal Variation 1 to address the concerns set out in the Appellant's submissions, those set out in Appeals E508/04/02 to E508/04/53 and in the following summarised matters, so as to provide for the sustainable management of the port, thereby achieving the purpose of the RMA:</p> <p>At the general level, the Respondent's decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will not promote sustainable management of resources, will not achieve the purpose of the RMA and are contrary to Part II and other provisions of the RMA; • Will not enable the social and economic well-being of the community in the Auckland Region; • Will not sustain the potential of the physical resources represented by the port and its associated structures and facilities for the future; • Are inadequate to protect and enable the Appellant's operations (as the regional provider of an essential service) in the Auckland region generally; • Does not have sufficient regard to the efficient use and development of the Appellant's operations and of those resources which are dependent on, or benefit from, the port; and • Does not represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Respondent's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means; 	Partnered with detailed relief 15/6/05 memorandum	ACC/MW Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Sanford Ltd Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-	E508/04	Ports of Auckland Ltd	02	Whole Plan	Amend the provisions of the Proposed Plan in an appropriate manner to give effect to the Appellant's concerns, including, but not limited to, promoting sustainable	Partnered with detailed relief	ACC/MW

Make Plan Consistent With RMA Purpose - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000362							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
AKL-000102		Ltd			management of resources, achieving the purpose of the RMA and complying with Part II and other provisions of the RMA.	15/6/05 memorandum	Sanford Ltd Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000103	E509/04	Watercare Services Ltd	01	Whole Plan Variation 1 Coastal 10 20	<p>Amend the Proposed Plan, Variation 1 to the ALW Plan and Variation 1 to the Regional Plan: Coastal to address the concerns set out in the Appellant's submissions, those set out in the appeals listed below and in the following summarised matters, so as to provide for the sustainable management of the Appellant's bulk water and wastewater network and thereby achieving the purpose of the RMA.</p> <p>At the general level the Respondent's decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will not promote sustainable management of resources, will not achieve the purpose of the RMA and are contrary to Part II and other provisions of the RMA; • Will not enable to social and economic wellbeing of the community in the Auckland Region; • Will not sustain the potential of the physical resource represented by the Appellant's bulk water and wastewater network, reservoirs and catchment areas and its associated structures and facilities for the future; • Are inadequate to protect and enable the Appellant's operations (as regional provider of an essential service) in the Auckland region generally; • Do not have sufficient regard to the efficient use and development of the Appellant's operations and of those resources which are dependent on, or which benefit from, the bulk water and wastewater network • Do not represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Respondent's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means. 	Partnered with detailed relief 15/6/05 memorandum	ACC/MW Federated Farmers Transit NZ Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000100	E506/04	Westfield New Zealand Ltd	01	Whole Plan	<p>Amend the Proposed Plan to address the concerns set out in the Appellant's submissions, those set out in Appeals E506/04/02 to E506/04/09 and in the following summarised matters, thereby achieving the purpose of the RMA:</p> <p>At the general level, the Respondent's decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will not promote sustainable management of resources, will not achieve the 	Partnered with detailed relief 15/6/05 memorandum	ACC/MW Transit NZ Auckland

Make Plan Consistent With RMA Purpose - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000362							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
					purpose of the RMA and are contrary to Part II and other provisions of the RMA; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will not enable the social and economic well-being of the community in the Auckland Region; • Does not represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Respondent's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means; 		International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000100	E506/04	Westfield New Zealand Ltd	02	Whole Plan	Amend the Proposed Plan in an appropriate manner to give effect to the Appellant's concerns, including, but not limited to, promoting sustainable management of resources, achieving the purpose of the RMA and complying with Part II and other provisions of the RMA.	Partnered with detailed relief 15/6/05 memorandum	ACC/MW Transit NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd Horticulture New Zealand

Provision For Use And Development, Including Infrastructure - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000363							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
ENV-2006-AKL-000102	E508/04	Ports of Auckland Ltd Ltd	04	Whole Plan 1 2 3	Amend the Proposed Plan and in particular Part One (Chapters 1-3) be amended to make equal provision for the use and development of natural and physical resources within the Auckland Region.	Partnered with detailed relief 15/6/05 memorandum	ACC/MW Sanford Ltd Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport

Provision For Use And Development, Including Infrastructure - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000363							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
							Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000101	E507/04	Progressive Enterprises Ltd	03	Whole Plan 1 2 3	Amend the Proposed Plan to make adequate protection for the "use and development" of resources within the Auckland Region thereby giving effect to the other limbs of the definition of sustainable management. In particular that Part One be amended in an appropriate manner to make equal provision for the use and development of natural and physical resources as well as for the protection of the environment.	Partnered with detailed relief 15/6/05 memorandum	ACC/MW Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000780	E503/04	Property Council of New Zealand Inc Cabra Holdings Ltd Hopper Developments Ltd Neil Construction Ltd	02	Whole Plan	In the event that Appeal E503/04/01 is not granted, amend the Plan with the provisions that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Achieve the purpose of the Act; • Take a balanced approach to the promotion of sustainable management; • Achieve an effects-based approach; • Gives clear recognition to the importance of residential, commercial and industrial land development to the economic viability of the Region and the wellbeing of its community; • Reduce the need for resource consents; and • Provide opportunities for land developers to achieve compliance with the Plan through the implementation of appropriate non-regulatory methods. 	Further particulars provided by appellant on 14/03/06. And 31 May 2006 Being addressed by amendments to individual chapters.	ACC/MW Transit NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd Haka International Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000780	E503/04	Property Council of New Zealand Inc Cabra Holdings Ltd	03	Whole Plan	Amend the Plan by adopting the amendments to the objectives, policies and rules sought in submissions E503/04/04 to 36.	Being considered by ARC through specific amendments to chapters 2-7.	ACC/MW Transit NZ Auckland

Provision For Use And Development, Including Infrastructure - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000363							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
		Hopper Developments Ltd Neil Construction Ltd					International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd Haka International Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000780	E503/04	Property Council of New Zealand Inc Cabra Holdings Ltd Hopper Developments Ltd Neil Construction Ltd	04	Whole Plan	Acknowledge in the Plan that rural environments may have been previously compromised by past uses and activities.	Being considered by ARC through specific amendments to chapters 2-7.	ACC/MW Transit NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd Haka International Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000103	E509/04	Watercare Services Ltd	02	Whole Plan	Amend the Proposed Plan to give effect to the following concerns: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The need to set objectives and policies which amongst other things take account of regional affordability and the commercial or recreational use of natural resources; The need to correct the current over emphasis on the "protection" of natural resources; The failure to sufficiently provide for the "use and development" of natural and physical resources; The failure to promote sustainable management of resources which will not achieve the purpose of the RMA and which is contrary to Part II and other RMA provisions; 	Partnered with detailed relief 15/6/05 memorandum	ACC/MW Federated Farmers Transit NZ Westfield NZ Auckland International

Provision For Use And Development, Including Infrastructure - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000363							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> That many of the objectives and policies, particularly in Chapters 2 and 3 are to vague to provide any real guidance; There is not sufficient regard given to regionally significant infrastructure and services. 		Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000103	E509/04	Watercare Services Ltd	03	Whole Plan Coastal 10 20	Amend the Plan and Coastal V1, including Chapter 2 so as to provide for both "use and development" as well as the "protection" of natural and physical resources and provide further guidance on how to resolve the conflicting values in Part One.	Partnered with detailed relief 15/6/05 memorandum	ACC/MW Federated Farmers Transit NZ Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000100	E506/04	Westfield New Zealand Ltd	03	Whole Plan 1 2 3	Amend the Proposed Plan to make adequate protection for the "use and development" of resources within the Auckland Region thereby giving effect to the other limbs of the definition of sustainable management; AND In particular that Part One (Chapters 1-3) be amended in an appropriate manner to make equal provision for the use and development of natural and physical resources as well as for the protection of the environment.	Partnered with detailed relief 15/6/05 memorandum	ACC/MW Transit NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd Horticulture New Zealand

Provision For Use And Development, Including Infrastructure - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000363							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
ENV-2006-AKL-000072	E490/04	Transit New Zealand	01	Whole Plan	Amend the Plan to recognise the importance of infrastructure, particularly roading, through new specially tailored objectives, policies and rules to recognise a) the role and importance to community wellbeing and the regional economy, and b) positive benefits of new roading projects.	Partnered with detailed relief 16/6/05 memorandum	ACC/MW Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd

Section 32 Analysis - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000367							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
ENV-2006-AKL-000103	E509/04	Watercare Services Ltd	04	Whole Plan Section 32	Undertake an appropriate Section 32 assessment that fully takes into account the economic, social and environmental costs and benefits of the proposed provisions, as well as the costs and benefits of any alternatives, and other necessary or consequential amendments, including but not limited to the replacement or amendments of any provisions of the Plan to ensure they comply with the existing or subsequent section 32 reassessment.		ACC/MW Federated Farmers Horticulture New Zealand

External Documents And Guidelines- Report back date to Court – 1 September 2005 - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000369							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
ENV-2006-AKL-000094	E501/04	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (Inc)	02	Whole Plan	Delete references to external documents/guidelines that were not open to consultation, as part of the plan change process, or incorporate them as a means of compliance with the provisions in the Plan.	Court Mediation held on 5 December 2005 & agreement reached on some matters. Protocol for negotiations on the use of	ACC Transit NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd

External Documents And Guidelines- Report back date to Court – 1 September 2005 - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000369							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
						individual guidelines determined. Mediation to be reconvened when required.	Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000080	E536/04	Horticulture New Zealand	04	1 4 4A 5 6 7 12	Delete all references to documents outside of the Plan that have not been subject to an open consultation process.	As above	ACC Transit NZ
ENV-2006-AKL-000102	E508/04	Ports of Auckland Ltd	49	1 4 4A 5 6 7 12 20	Delete from Coastal V1 and the Proposed Plan any references that relate to Technical Publications or other documents that have not yet been produced, or are otherwise not available for public review, or which can be amended without following RMA processes.	As above	ACC/MW Sanford Ltd Transit NZ Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000060	E485/04	Shell New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd, Caltex New Zealand Ltd, BP Oil New Zealand, Wiri Oil Services	01	1 4 4A 5 6 7 12 Guidelines & Standards	Amend the Plan so that there is clear framework relating to the adoption of guidelines and standards and that all external documents are appropriately and fully identified and referenced.	As above	ACC/MW Sanford Ltd Transit NZ Westfield NZ Auckland

External Documents And Guidelines- Report back date to Court – 1 September 2005 - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000369							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
		Ltd					International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd
ENV-2006-AKL-000060	E485/04	Shell New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd, Caltex New Zealand Ltd, BP Oil New Zealand, Wiri Oil Services Ltd	02	1 Guidelines & Standards	<p>Include an additional section in Chapter 1 which sets out how guidelines and standards are used in the Plan as follows: <u>"Guidelines are used and references throughout the Plan and are a key mean by which the ARC will assess compliance with the Plan provisions. The adoption of a hierarchy of guidelines throughout the Plan seeks to ensure that the most relevant guidelines/standards are applied to the management of certain activities/environments. In the first instance, New Zealand derived guidelines should be consulted. Where such a New Zealand guideline does not exist, the most appropriate alternative guidelines should be adopted. Before a guideline is adopted into the Plan consideration needs to be given to:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Understanding the basis upon which they were derived and their purpose;</u> • <u>The transferability to the Auckland situation;</u> • <u>The points of difference between the locations and the significance of those differences, and what allowance needs to be made for those differences."</u> 	As above	ACC/MW Sanford Ltd Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd
ENV-2006-AKL-000060	E485/04	Shell New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd, Caltex New Zealand Ltd, BP Oil New Zealand, Wiri Oil Services Ltd	03	1 4 4A 5 6 7 12 External Documents	Ensure that all references to external documents/documents sitting outside of the Plan include the date of publication, and/or version of the document, so that changes and amendments to guidelines cannot be made without recourse to a Variation or Plan Change	As above	ACC/MW Sanford Ltd Transit NZ Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd
ENV-2006-AKL-000060	E485/04	Shell New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd, Caltex New Zealand Ltd,	04	4 4A 5 6 7 12	Delete all references to draft and undated guidelines.	As above	ACC/MW Sanford Ltd Westfield NZ

External Documents And Guidelines- Report back date to Court – 1 September 2005 - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000369							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
		BP Oil New Zealand, Wiri Oil Services Ltd		Guidelines			Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd
ENV-2006-AKL-000067	E488/04	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	01	1 4 4A 5 6 7 12 Guidelines & Standards	Amend the Plan so that there is a clear framework relating to the adoption of guidelines and standards and that all external documents are appropriately and fully identified and referenced	As above	ACC/MW Transit NZ Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd DB Breweries Ltd
ENV-2006-AKL-000067	E488/04	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	02	1 Guidelines & Standards	Include an additional section in Chapter 1 which sets out how guidelines and standards are used in the Plan as follows: <u>Guidelines are used and references throughout the Plan and are a key mean by which the ARC will assess compliance with the Plan provisions. The adoption of a hierarchy of guidelines throughout the Plan seeks to ensure that the most relevant guidelines/standards are applied to the management of certain activities/environments. In the first instance, New Zealand derived guidelines should be consulted. Where such a New Zealand guideline does not exist, the most appropriate alternative guidelines, should be adopted. Before a guideline is adopted into the Plan consideration needs to be given to:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Understanding the basis upon which they were derived and their purpose;</u> • <u>The transferability to the Auckland situation;</u> • <u>The points of difference between the locations and the significance of those differences, and what allowance needs to be made for those differences.</u> 	As above	ACC/MW Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd DB Breweries Ltd
ENV-2006-AKL-	E488/04	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	03	1 4 4A	Ensure that all references to external documents/documents sitting outside of the Plan include the date of publication, and/or version of the document, so that changes and amendments to guidelines cannot be made without recourse to a Variation or	As above	ACC/MW Westfield NZ

External Documents And Guidelines- Report back date to Court – 1 September 2005 - EC Topic number ENV-2006-304-000369							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
000067				5 6 7 12	Plan Change		Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd DB Breweries Ltd
ENV-2006-AKL-000067	E488/04	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	04	4 4A 5 6 7 12 Guidelines	Delete all references to draft and undated guidelines.	As above	ACC/MW Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd DB Breweries Ltd
ENV-2006-AKL-000103	E509/04	Watercare Services Ltd	109	Coastal 10 20	Delete reference to ANZEEC criteria (or other statutory documents) where those documents are not referenced by the current date or version.	As above	ACC/MW Transit NZ Ports of Auckland Ltd Auckland International Airport Horticulture New Zealand

Miscellaneous Issues							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
ENV-2006-AKL-000082	E537/04	Colin Ashby	01	Whole Plan	The Plan be made more user friendly by extensive use of subheading titles throughout.	Relief accepted in principle by ARC. However relief unable to be finalised until substantive appeals completed as these are likely to affect structure of plan chapters. Request this appeal be adjourned until end of appeal process.	ACC/MW
ENV-2006-AKL-000076	E532/04	Carter Holt Harvey Ltd	01	Whole Plan	Amend all references to 'avoid where practicable, remedying and mitigating' to 'avoiding, remedying or mitigating' or words to like effect.	ARC accepted relief sought. Changes have been made on a chapter by chapter basis.	ACC/MW Transit NZ Mighty River Power Ltd Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Watercare Services Ports of Auckland Ltd Haka International Horticulture

Miscellaneous Issues							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
							New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000076	E532/04	Carter Holt Harvey Ltd	02	Whole Plan	Amend all references to words similar to 'avoid where practicable, remedying and mitigating' that establish a hierarchy between 'avoiding, remedying or mitigating'.	ARC accepted relief sought. Changes have been made on a chapter by chapter basis.	ACC/MW Transit NZ Mighty River Power Ltd Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Watercare Services Ports of Auckland Ltd Haka International Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000076	E532/04	Carter Holt Harvey Ltd	03	Whole Plan	Delete references to 'minimisation' of effects and replace with words and structures allowing steps to be taken to 'avoid, remedy or mitigate' effects.	Changes being made to chapters 4 and 5 as part of mediation on chapter specific issues. Other chapters resolved & changes to be included in relevant consent orders.	ACC/MW Transit NZ Mighty River Power Ltd Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport

Miscellaneous Issues							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
							Watercare Services Ports of Auckland Ltd Haka International Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000064	E487/04	Shell New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd, Caltex New Zealand Ltd, BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Wiri Oil Services Ltd	01	4 Minimise Discharges Approach	Amend the approach in the Plan (and apparent intent of the Respondent) to ensure that the minimisation of discharges relates to the adverse effects of those discharges and is not related to the imposition of best practice. (BROUGHT THRU FROM GROUP 4)	Appellant & Respondent agreed to review relief following negotiations on external documents & guidelines.	
ENV-2006-AKL-000102	E508/04	Ports of Auckland Ltd	03	Whole Plan	Delete any duplication between the Proposed Plan and other district and regional plans, or amend the provisions of the Proposed Plan so that its provisions are consistent with all other plans in the Auckland Region.	Partnered with detailed relief 15/06/05 memorandum.	ACC/MW Sanford Ltd Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Horticulture New Zealand
ENV-2006-AKL-000103	E509/04	Watercare Services Ltd	05	Whole Plan	Amend the Plan provisions to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase the clarity and certainty of the Plan, particularly to the description of management areas, the way in which rules and policies are constructed, how terms are defined and consistency of definitions; Address the difficulty of determining the consent status of certain activities 	Relief being addressed as part of amendments to rules in Chapters 4-7.	ACC/MW Federated Farmers Transit NZ

Miscellaneous Issues							
Env. Court Case No.	Env. Court (Prior No.)	Appellant Name	Point of Relief	Provision # (2004 Plan)	Relief Sought	Status	Section 274 Party Name
					as well as the practical and legal meaning of conditions, standards and terms that activities will be expected to comply with.		Westfield NZ Auckland International Airport Ports of Auckland Ltd Horticulture New Zealand